



Erratum

<http://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4208.6.8>

<http://zoobank.org/urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:3C9D2390-2D41-493D-88D3-BD64B8C8ED1D>

GIULIA FURFARO, BERNARD PICTON, ALEXANDER MARTYNOV & PAOLO MARIOTTINI (2016) *Diaphorodoris alba* Portmann & Sandmeier, 1960 is a valid species: molecular and morphological comparison with *D. luteocincta* (M. Sars, 1870) (Gastropoda: Nudibranchia). *Zootaxa*, 4193: 304–316.

The two species names were inverted on page 314 under Conclusions section. The sentence should read as:

On the basis of the integrative taxonomy approach here presented, we formally propose to raise the two traditionally recognized colour morphotypes, *D. luteocincta* ‘var. *reticulata*’ and *D. luteocincta* ‘var. *alba*’, to species rank as *Diaphorodoris luteocincta* and *D. alba* stat. nov. respectively.